

47
A
DISSERTATION
ON THE
MAGNITUDE
OF THE
YEAR.

[Price One Shilling.]

1752.

DISSEMINATION

MANUSCRIPTS



Y. E. R.

THEORY

A
DISSERTATION
ON THE
MAGNITUDE
OF THE
YEAR:

CONTAINING

An HISTORICAL ACCOUNT of TIME,
from the earliest Ages down to the present ;

The Method practised by the Ancients, for ascertaining the true Measure and Magnitude of the Year, explained ; and the Result of their Calculations compared, from a Table of the Magnitudes of the Years, Siderial as well as Tropical, calculated by the most eminent Astronomers, both ancient and modern ; the Instruments used by the Ancients, for that Purpose, explained ; and the Errors incurred by *Julius Cæsar* and Pope *Gregory's* Method clearly pointed out.

A L S O,

A Method laid down, from the true Magnitude of the Year, given in Days, Hours, Minutes, and Seconds, to adapt the Calculation of Time to common Use, in such a Manner, that there will neither be gained nor lost, on true Time, one Second, to the latest Ages ; which is clearly demonstrated from the simplest Principles.

L O N D O N :

Printed by J. GED, for S^r PATERSON, at *Shakespear's Head*,
facing *Durham-Yard*, in the *Strand*. M D C C L I I.



TO

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

PHILIP

EARL of CHESTERFIELD.

MY LORD,

AS your Lordship was a principal Promoter of the Bill for introducing the *New Stile*, and as this little Tract owes its Birth to that Event, it naturally falls under your Lordship's Protection and Patronage, so it is needless to trouble you, My Lord, with a tedious Apology for this Address.

If your Lordship shall find any thing in this Performace worthy your
a Notice,

DEDICATION.

Notice, it will not only afford me a great deal of Pleasure, to have merited the Approbation of so distinguished a Judge, but, at the same time, procure it a favourable Reception with the Public.

The World, perhaps, My Lord, may expect that I should entertain them with an Account of your Lordship's Abilities, as a Statesman and eminent Patriot, &c. but this is too elevated a Subject for me, and so I shall leave it to those who are better qualified to do Justice to so arduous a Task; and, without farther transgressing upon your Lordship's Patience, shall only beg to be indulged the Honour of adding, That I am, with the highest Esteem,

MY LORD,

Your LORDSHIP'S

Most obedient,

and most devoted,

humble Servant,

The Author,

P R E F A C E.

THE following Performance being only designed, by the Author, to explain the Method practised by the Ancients, for measuring of Time; he did not think it necessary to treat of the moveable Feasts, as that is already done at large by others: But as some Things mentioned here hath not been taken notice of by any Author whatever, he reckoned it would not be altogether unacceptable to the Public, though, at first, only intended for his own Satisfaction and Amusement.

The

P R E F A C E

The Reader will be pleased to correct the following Errors, which have escaped in the Press.

Page 6, Line 27, for 112, read 712.

17, Line 3, for *Conen*, read *Conon*.

21, Line 15, for *Eratostenes*, read *Eratosthenes*.

22, Line 12, for *Paralux*, read *Parallax*.

25, Line 5, for *Bitbian*, read *Bitbinian*.

Ib. Line 11, for *Isacius*, read *Isaacius*.

26, Line 11, for *Perbachius*, read *Purbachius*.

D. H. M. S. T.

Ib. Line 19, in the Table, for { 375. 5. 55. 37. 40.
read { 365. 5. 55. 37. 40.

Ib. Line 33, for *Danter*, read *Dantes*.

D. H. M. S.

28, Line 18, for - - - - { 365. 5. 49. 57.
read - - - - { 365. 5. 48. 57.

30, Line 6, for 5, read 5 *Sec*.

31, Line 18, for *setting*, read *settling*.

32, Line 10, for 668, read 663.

35, Line 7, for *determinine*, read *determine*.

37, Line 18, for *Ricioli*, read *Riccioli*.

43, Line 26, for *Common*, read *Leap*.

DISSERTATION

ON THE

Magnitude of the YEAR, &c.

SINCE, not only Men of the most distinguish'd Learning and Abilities, have, in the earliest Ages, exerted themselves with uncommon Affiduity, but even Kings and Princes have used their utmost Endeavours, to determine and settle the true Magnitude of the Year, in order to adapt it to common Use, being convinced that an exact Computation of Time was of the greatest Importance to Society; we shall therefore endeavour to state in a true Light, the several Steps that have been made towards it by the Learned in different Ages, together with the various Methods of computing Time in different Countries from the earliest Calculation down to the latest; and, at the same time, compare the one

B with

with the other, and point out the Errors consequential to the mistaking the true Magnitude of the Year. And to render *the* Subject as clear as possible, we shall in the *first* Place give an historical Account of the Mensuration of Time as far back as we can trace it, either from sacred or profane History. In the *next* Place, for the Satisfaction of the Curious, we shall explain the Methods practised by the Ancients for discovering the true Magnitude of the Year, together with the Instruments whereby they made their Observations according to *Ptolomy's* Account of them; this we never met with in any *English* Author. And, *lastly*, we shall compare the *Julian* and *Gregorian* Stiles, point out the Errors of each, and lay down a Method for adjusting Time in such a Manner, as never to gain or lose one Second to the latest Ages; and this we shall clearly demonstrate from the simplest Principles, so as to render it obvious to the meanest Capacity.

The Necessity of measuring Time with Exactness and Precision, and the Advantages arising from it, seems to be early foreseen; but the Defects of Astronomical Instruments and other Disadvantages rendered the Task extremely difficult to those who attempted it, as shall be shewn in its proper Place.

The

The first who takes any Notice of the Division of the Year is *Josephus*, the *Jewish* Antiquary, who informs us, in his first and fourth Books, that the *Hebrews* divided the Year into Twelve Months before the Flood, and from *Herodotus* it is evident that the *Egyptians* made this Division very early; nay, both he and *Clemens Alexandrinus*, notwithstanding of *Josephus*, ascribe the Honour of dividing the Year in this Manner entirely to the *Egyptians*; They assert, that the *Egyptians* discovered its Magnitude, by the Motions and Revolutions of the Stars, to consist of Three hundred and Sixty-five Days, and so made each Month to contain Thirty Days, adding the Five odd Days to the End of each Year as intercalary Days belonging to no particular Month. 'Tis true, *Diodorus Siculus* ascribes this Discovery to the *Thebans*; but this rather strengthens than diminishes the Authority of *Herodotus* and *Alexandrinus*, since the City of *Thebes* in *Greece* was peopled by a Colony from *Thebes* in *Egypt*, and he has left us in the Dark which of the two he means. But *Diogenes Laertius* affords us further Light into this Affair, when he informs us, that *Thales*, who travelled into *Egypt*, in order to study Astronomy, and improve himself in other Parts of *Mathematical Learning*, upon his Return made the Year to consist of Three hundred and Sixty-five Days, which he divided into Twelve

Months intercalating the odd Days at the End of each *Year* in the same Manner as was practiced in *Egypt*; from whence he translated this Method of dividing *Time* as well as all other Parts of *Mathematical* Knowledge into *Greece*. From what has been observed, it is obvious that little Credit is to be given to the Authority of *Servius*, who ascribes the Discovery of the Magnitude of the *Year* and its Division into Twelve Months to *Eudoxus*; adding, that it was afterwards more accurately calculated by *Hipparchus*, and lastly by *Julius Cæsar*. For *Eudoxus* only flourish'd 360 Years before the Christian Æra, but *Thales* 620. 'Tis true, the *Grecians*, before *Thales*, made their common Year to consist of 354 Days or 12 Lunar Months, each containing 29 and 30 Days alternately, and every third Year they intercalated a whole Month, consisting of 30 days, which occasioned *Thales* to prefer the *Egyptian* Method, and introduce the Practice thereof into *Greece*.

Having thus far trac'd the Method of computing Time, and given an Historical Account of it amongst the ancient *Egyptians* and *Grecians*, let us next proceed to the *Romans*. *Plutarch*, in his Life of *Numa Pampilius*, informs us that *Romulus*, only divided the Year into 10 Months, consisting of very unequal Numbers of Days, some containing 35, and others only 23. What Number of Days he sup-
posed

posed the Year to consist of, we can only discover by the Number of Days added to it by *Numa Pampilius*. He began his Year with the Month of *March*, which he ordered should consist of 34 Days; it was named *March* in Honour of *Mars* his supposed Grandfather; the Second he named *April* from the Greek Word $\alpha\phi\rho\omicron\varsigma$, signifying the Foam of the Sea, which gave Birth to *Venus* the Mother of *Æneas*. The Third he called *Majus*, which according to *Fulvius in Fastis Romuli*, was from *Majores* his Ancestors. The Fourth he called *Junius* from *Juventus*, signifying Youth, and having in this Manner named the Four preceding Months, he named the Rest numerically in their natural Order: The 5th he called *Quintilis*, which afterwards, in honour of *Julius Cæsar* was named *Julius*: The 6th *Sextilis*, which was afterwards called *Augustus*, in honour of *Augustus Cæsar*: The 7th was called *September*: The 8th, 9th, 10th, were called *October*, *November*, *December*. But this Method of measuring Time has no Conformity either to the Motion of Sun or Moon. *Numa* added first 50 Days to *Romulus's* Year, and sometime after 6 more: Six of the Months he made to consist of 30 Days each, calling them *Menses cavi*, because they contained a Day less than the Four other Months, which were called *Menses pleni*. The 56 additional Days he divided into Two Months,

Months, calling the first *January* from *Janus*, the most ancient King of *Italy*, who received *Saturn* after he was expelled by his Son *Jupiter*, and gave him a part of his Kingdom. The second he called *Februarius* from *Febrio*, which signifies purging of Souls; because in *Numa's* Time, this being the last Month of the Year, the *Roman* People were accustomed to purge their Souls by Sacrifices the last Month of the Year; and hence it appears that *Numa* was the first that divided the Year into Twelve Months, according to *Plutarch*. But the Religion or rather Superstition that prevailed at *Rome*, creating a Veneration amongst the *Romans* for odd Numbers, this pious King after dividing the Year as above related, ordered that all the Months of the Year should consist of an odd Number of Days except *February*, which he made only 28: And hence *January*, *April*, *June*, *Sextilis* now *August*, *September*, *November*, and *December*, contained 29 Days; But *March*, *May*, *Quintilis* now *June*, and *October*, consisted of 31 Days. These are all the Regulations, with Regard to the Mensuration of Time establish'd by *N. Pompilius* the II^d. King of *Rome*, who began his Reign 112 Years before the Christian *Æra*; and according to this Account his Year consisted only of 355 Days, which occasioned the *Romans* thereafter, in Imitation of the *Greeks*, sometimes to intercalate a Month

Month every third Year, and at other Times, they only intercalated ninety Days, or three Months of thirty Days each in eight Years : And when such Intercalation was made, publick Notice thereof was given to the People by the High Priest according to the *Roman* Law. But as these Intercalations were not regular, the High Priests intercalating sometimes a greater and sometimes a lesser Number of Days than they ought, and that always in Proportion to the Friendship that subsisted between the Magistrates, the Publicans, &c. and them, it happened from their inaccurate Method of keeping the Kalendar ; that in *Cæsar's* Time, the first of the Kalends of *January*, or first Day of *January*, had fallen backward to the Kalends of *November*, that is to the 23d Day of *October*. *Julius Cæsar* being High Priest, and observing what Confusion this Method of measuring Time introduced, resolved upon a Reformation of the Kalendar ; and in order to adjust it with the greater Exactness, he brought *Sofigines*, a noted Astronomer from *Alexandria*, to assist him in settling the true Magnitude of the tropical Year. That learned Man relying upon the Calculations of *Archimedes*, *Callipus*, and *Gemius*, made it to consist of 365 Days 6 Hours. And as the Fraction of 6 Hours amounts to a whole Day in four Years, he ordered that every fourth Year should consist of 366 days, making the Month of *February*,

bruary, which was only 28 days in common Years, to consist of 29 every fourth Year in place of 28; and that their ordinary Method of reckoning the Days of the Month might meet with no Interruption by this intercalary Day, he ordered it to follow the 24th of *February*, which in their Method of Computing was the 6th of the Kalends of *March*, that day every fourth Year was twice reckon'd, and the Year from thence was called Bissextile from *bis Sextas Kalendas Martii*. All other Years were reckoned common Years consisting of 365 days. By this Method of reckoning the Magnitude of the tropical Year, we see that all Years divisible by Four, *viz.* 8, 12, 24, 32, 48, &c. are Leap Years, or Years consisting of 366 days: but all those that are not divisible by four, are common Years, 14, 22, 30, 42, &c. or Years consisting of 365 days. *Cæsar* having thus added 10 days to *Numa's* Year, caused two of these additional days to be added to each of the following Months, *viz.* *January*, *August*, and *December*; which formerly consisted only of 29 days, but were now encreas'd to 31; to the other four Months, *viz.* *April*, *June*, *September* and *November*, being only 29 days each, he added one Day, and so made them 30 days. These were all the Alterations made by *Julius Cæsar* with respect to the Mensuration of Time, which was very considerable, since by the Intercalation of one Day in the Space of four Years,

Years, and adding 10 Days and six Hours to *Numa's* Year, all the confused and irregular Intercalations were entirely cut off.

Though *Riccicoli* and *Bulialdus* were of opinion, that *Cæsar's* Year would have been still more perfect if *Sosigenes* had not mistaken the Sun's Entry into the Solstitial Points.

Suetonius, *Appian* and *Pliny*, after describing *Cæsar's* Alterations of the Kalendar, allow him the Honour of being the first that accommodated the Measure of the Year to the apparent Motions of the Sun.

Cæsar began his Year on the first of the Kalends of *January*, which happened about 7 Days after the Winter Solstice, and his Example was follow'd by the greatest part of *Europe*, until Pope *Gregory* in the Year 1582, resolv'd upon a new Reformation of the Kalendar, which in the sequel we shall take notice of.

From the whole it is plain that the Year at first consisted of 365 Days, and was divided into 12 Months; if not by the *Hebrews*, according to *Josephus*, *Polidore Virgil* and *Riccicoli*, who agree, that Astronomy, and the Method of Computing Time, was translated from the *Hebrews* to the *Egyptians* 1950 Years before the Christian Æra, and that the Year consisted of 365 Days and was by them divided into 12 Months, from the *Egyptians* to the *Chaldeans*, from the *Chaldeans* to the *Grecians*, and from the *Grecians*

cians to the *Romans*: At least, 'tis clear from *Herodotus*, *Diodorus Siculus*, *Macrobius*, &c. that this Science was very early known in *Egypt*, and by its Assistance the *Egyptians* as early discover'd the Magnitude of the Year and divided it into twelve Months.

Therefore when *Diogenes Laertius* ascribes this Division to *Thales*, and *Suetonius*, *Appian* and *Pliny* to *Julius Cæsar*, they mean no more, but that they were the first in their respective Countries that measured the Year by the Motion of the Sun, and divided it into twelve Months; tho' this is an undoubted fact, we cannot from thence conclude, that either of them discovered the Year's Magnitude, or that either of them were the first that used the Division of it into 12 Months.

For this would evidently contradict other Passages of the same Authors, relating to the same Men, since *Thales* only made that Alteration after his return from *Egypt* into *Greece*, where he had studied Astronomy under the Priests of *Memphis*, and from them learned that Magnitude and Division of the Year; so that instead of being the Inventer of this Method of measuring Time, *Thales* was only the first that introduced it into *Greece*, and undoubtedly *Diogenes Laertius* means no more, when he says, that *Thales* was the first who adapted the Year to the apparent Motion of the

the Sun, computing its Magnitude to consist of 365 Days.

This Opinion is further confirmed from the *Olympiads*, each of which contain'd a Period of four Years, consisting of 12 Lunar Months, each containing 29 Days and one half.

This Method of computing Time was instituted by *Pelops* in Honour of *Jupiter*, about 1300 before the Christian *Æra*, and consequently 593 Years before *Numa*. 'Tis true these *Olympiads* were laid aside for some time, but were reviv'd by *Endymion*, *Nelius*, *Pelias*, and afterwards by *Lycurgus* 904 Years before our Saviour, and at last were fix'd by *Iphites* 774 Years before Christ, without any other Alteration than that made by *Thales* 154 Years after, who chang'd the Lunar to the *Egyptian* Solar Year, which consisted of three hundred and sixty-five Days.

Plutarch is to be understood in the same Sense with respect to *Numa*, viz. that he was only the first amongst the *Romans* that divided the Year into 12 Months.

Numa Pampilius began his Reign, according to *Helvicius*, in the 3d Year of the 16th *Olympiad*, or 712 Years before Christ; from which it is evident, that the Division of the Year into 12 Months was in use amongst the *Grecians* 588 Years before his Time; so that I think 'tis past Dispute, that the *Hebrews* and *Egyptians* were not only the first that

divided the Year into 12 Months, but also the first that adapted its Magnitude to the Motion of the Sun, nor can there be any thing more absurd than to ascribe to *Numa*, *Thales*, or *Julius Caesar* a thing that happened so long before any of them existed.

From this account of the Computations of time used by different Nations, it evidently appears that the Magnitude of the Year, was always measur'd by the apparent Revolution of the Sun, Moon or Stars, and sometimes jointly by the Motions of both Sun and Moon.

Years computed by the apparent Motion of the Sun alone, are called *Solar Years*; and those measured by the Motions of the Moon alone, are called *Lunâr Years*, and by the Motions of both, *Lunisolar*. If they are measured by the Stars, they are called *Siderial Years*. Tho' these last mentioned Years have not been much in use, yet 'tis plain from *Herodotus* and *Polydore Virgil*, that the *Egyptians* discovered the Magnitude of the Year by the Course of the Stars. It is, indeed, probable that the Meaning of *Herodotus* in that Passage, is, that they discovered the Magnitude of the Year, from the Time that the Sun took up in moving from any one Star until he arrived at the same again; but still that Interval of Time is allowed by all Astronomers to be the Measure of a Siderial Year. The Solar Year then is divided

divided into Siderial and Tropical. The Tropical Year is, that Space of Time the Sun takes up in moving from one of the Tropicks or Solstitial Points until he return to the same.

This is what most Nations allow to be the Measure of the Civil Year. The *Egyptians*, *Chaldeans* and *Phœnicians*, after *Thales*, and some Time before him, used the Tropical Year; this is evident, since the Precession of the Equinoxes was only discovered by *Hypparchus* 484 Years after *Thales*; from which Precession arises the Difference betwixt the Siderial and Tropical Years.

The *Hebrews* and *Egyptians* we see very clearly used the Siderial Year long before that time, which was very natural in these early Ages of the World, it being much easier in the Infancy of Astronomy to observe the Interval of Time taken up by the Sun in moving from any one Star until he returned to the same, than to observe his Departure from any Point in the Ecliptick, and his Arrival at the same again.

Therefore, from the first time Mankind began to observe the Motions of the Sun and Moon, many hundred Years might pass before Astronomers discovered there was such a Thing as the Ecliptick; so that all Solar Years antecedent to the Discovery of the Ecliptick, as we have already observed, must have been Siderial Years; nor indeed is it quite certain, when the Ecliptick was
first

first observed; tho' we are sufficiently satisfied that it was known to the *Egyptians* when *Thales* studied at *Memphis*, since, upon his Return to *Greece* he altered the Measure of the Year from the Lunar to the Tropical Solar Year, which made *Diogenes* ascribe the Discovery of the Tropicks and Equinoctial Points to him: But, altho' the Knowledge of the Ecliptick was introduced into *Greece* by *Thales*, yet it was very little understood amongst the *Grecians* for more than a hundred Years after his Time, as is evident from *Theon Smyrneus* the Astronomer, who observes that *Eudemus* in his History of Astrology ascribes the Honour of discovering the Ecliptick to *Oenopides* of *Chios*, who liv'd, according to *Riccioli*, 560 Years before the Christian Æra, which was 60 Years after *Thales*. *Plutarch* afterwards ascribes it to *Pythagoras*, and *Pliny* says, that *Anaximander* made the Discovery anno ante Christ. 520, which is no less than 100 Years after *Thales*; a plain Evidence of the slow Progress that Learning made in these Ages, and we may easily conclude, it advanced by still slower Steps in Ages antecedent to the *Grecians*.

From the Institution of the *Olympick Games* the *Grecians* used the Lunar year, to the Time of *Thales*, as is plain from its Magnitude being 354 Days or 12 Lunations, each consisting of 29 1-half Days. But *Thales* introduced the
Egyptian

Egyptian solar year consisting of 365, and consequently after his Time the tropical solar year was always in use amongst the *Grecians*.

Before *Hypparchus*, the Solar and Siderial Years were suppos'd the same, as we learn from *Ptolemy*, *Riccicoli*, &c. who agree that he was the first that observed the Precession of the Equinoxes, and that from thence a difference must arise betwixt the tropical and siderial years, which, in all Probability was never known to his Predecessors.

The Year in use amongst the *Romans*, in the Time of *Romulus* was so irregular, that we can hardly assign it any Name, it being neither Solar, Lunar nor Lunisolar, as is clear from *Plutarch* and *Polidore Virgil*.

The year introduced by *Numa* was that in use amongst the *Grecians* before *Thales*, except one Day, as it consisted of 355 Days, and the Intercalations at first were made after the same manner as in *Greece*; so that the year used by the *Greeks* before *Thales*, and by the *Romans* from *N. Pompilius* to *Cæsar*, considered by itself, was the pure Lunar year; but consider'd as used by both, with the Intercalations, Lunisolar, at least amongst the *Grecians*, who intercalated regularly; which, indeed, the *Romans* either through the Negligence or Perverseness of their high Priest, did not; so that they may be justly said to measure their year neither by Sun, Moon, or Stars, and consequently

quently it can be reduced to no Order at all; any more than in *Romulus's* Time.

The *Grecian* year therefore can hardly be called Lunisolar, and the *Roman* year has still a less Title to that Name; since 'tis plain the former used the Lunar for common and only the Lunisolar, for that particular year in which they made their Interculations, and the latter by the Irregularity of their Intercalations render'd it so confused, that it is reduceable to none of the above classes of years.

The Ancients having made a Discovery of the Ecliptick Equinoxes and Solstices, and resolv'd upon measuring the Magnitude of the year by the apparent Motion of the Sun, that is, by the Number of Days he takes in moving from any one of the Equinoctial or Solstitial Points, until he return'd to the same again; it was natural for them to think of some proper Instrument to assist them in observing with Precision the Sun's Ingress into either of these Points, in order to determine the Magnitude of the Year with the greater Exactness. And tho' several concurring Circumstances make it pretty evident, that both *Egyptian* and *Babylonian* Astronomers before *Thales* made Observations upon the Motions of the Sun; yet through the Injury of Time, their very Names are all lost in the History of Learning, except *Berosus* alone. There are, indeed, several *Alexandrians* frequently mentioned

mentioned after the Death of *Alexander the Great*, such as *Aristillus*, *Timochares*, *Eratostines*, and *Conen*, besides others in different Countries such as *Oenopides*, *Aristarchus*, *Helicon*, *Archimedes*, *Cleomedes*, &c. but little mention is made of the Instruments they used, except by *Hypparchus*, and these are described by *Ptolemy* in his *Almagist*. These Instruments were called by the general Name of the Armillary Sphere, of which they had different Kinds, of these our Author mentions three; however, as the Sphere they used for observing the Sun's Ingress into the Equinoctial Points was much of the same Construction with that used for the Solstices, I shall only explain *Ptolemy's* Description of it, and that, by which they determin'd the Obliquity of the Ecliptick and Equator.

The Sphere for observing the Sun's Entery into the Equinoxes consisted of two Circles of Brass of a mean Magnitude, divided into 360 Degrees and each of these Degrees into 100 Parts, and so exactly fitted to one another, that the Concavity of the outer one, exactly corresponded to the Convexity of the innermost. These they fixed perpendicular to the Horizon, in such a manner that its Plane corresponded with the meridian; and this they effected by a Plummets fixed at the Vertex of the Circle: In this Position they fixed it to a Wall or Pillar erected for that Purpose,

D

with

with Nuts and Screws. The Plane of the other was at Right Angles with the Plane of this, and so fixed as to lie in the Plane of the Equator; it was of a considerable Breadth and Depth, so that on the Concave Side they had room to delineate the Ecliptick Signs, and therefore one half of it was elevated above the Plane of the Horizon by an Angle equal to the Compliment of the Latitude of the Place, and the other as much depressed. The Instrument being thus carefully fixed in this Position, it follows that while the Sun is moving from the Southern to the Northern Signs, or from ♋ to ♊, the Shadow of the upper Part of the Sphere, which the Day before coincided with that Part of the Concavity of the lower Sphere where the northern Signs are described, will now coincide with that Part of the Concavity where the Southern Signs are described.

On the other hand, when the Sun is moving from the Northern to the Southern Signs, or from ♊ to ♋ the Shadow will fall upon the Northern Part, where before it fell upon the Southern. But if the Sun be in the Equator, and consequently in one of the Equinoctial Points, then will the Shadow of the upper Sphere, if contracted, exactly correspond with that Line in the concave Side of the lower, which divides the Northern from the Southern Signs; or if not so much contracted as precisely

cifely to cover that Line only, then will the Limits be parallel to that Line, and on each Side equidistant from it, or that Line will be in the Centre of the Limits of the Shadow, and at the precise Time the Shadow happen'd to take that Position, they concluded the Sun was in one of the Equinoctial Points; and if at any Time it should happen, that at the Evening, for Instance, on the 20th of *March*, the Shadow should be North, and in the Morning at Sun-rising South, then they concluded the Sun entered the Equinox in the Night betwixt the 20th and 21st, and the true time of his entering they calculated from the proportionable Distances the Shadow was found to be on the North and South Side of the Equinoctial line at the Sun's setting on the 20th, and rising on the 21st; and if these Distances were found to be exactly the same, they then coincided he entered the Equinox precisely at 12 o'Clock at Night, on the 20th of *March*.

The only Difference betwixt the Sphere above explain'd, and that by which they observed the Solstices, is, that the Circle at Right Angles, to that which lies in the Plane of the Meridian, is made to correspond with the Plane of the Ecliptick, and in all other Respects they are one and the same, and Observations are performed with it in the very same manner as with the other,

The Sphere us'd for taking the Inclination of the Ecliptick and Equator, according to *Ptolemy's* Explanation, is a Circle of Brass of a mean Magnitude divided into 360 Deg. and these Degrees into as many other Subdivisions as the Magnitude of the Circle would admit of, and then another smaller Circle concentric with it, so connected with it, that their Surfaces lay in the same Plane, the Convexity of the lesser exactly corresponding to the Concavity of the greater, in such a manner that it might freely turn round, their common Center still keeping in the same Plane with the other Circle. Upon this smaller Circle are fix'd two Indices with Sights diametrically opposite to one another, in such a manner that a Line drawn through them, passes thro' the common Center of both Circles. In the middle of these Indices two small sliding ones are inserted, whose fiducial Lines point out the Degrees on the greater Circle.

This Instrument is fixed perpendicular to the Horizon, and in the Plane of the Meridian, in the same Manner as the Sphere above described. The Instrument being thus adjusted, when the Sun came upon the Plane of this Circle, and consequently in the Plane of the Meridian, the lesser Circle was turn'd round until the Shadow of the Sight upon the Southern Index exactly cover'd that upon the Northern Index, and by that Means the fiducial

cial Line of the Southern Index pointed out the Sun's Distance from the Zenith in Degrees and Parts of a Degree. The Difference of these Zenith Distances, when the Sun was in the most northerly and most southern Points of the Ecliptick gave double the Angle of the obliquity of the Ecliptick and Equator. And *Ptolemy* says, that by Observations made in this Manner he found the Difference between the two Zenith Distances to amount to 47 d. and somewhat more than two thirds of a Degree, which makes the Angle of Inclination of the Ecliptick and Equator, 23 deg. 51 m. 20 s. and this is the same that *Eratoſtenes* and *Hypparchus* made it, and always us'd in their Calculations.

It is highly probable, tho' we have no Account of the Nature of any of the Instruments used by those who preceeded *Hypparchus*, that they were the very same as we have just now described. As this was the Method practis'd by all the Ancients in taking the Angle of Inclination of the Ecliptick and Equator, and the Sun's Ingres into the Equinoctial and Solstitial Points, and from thence determined the Magnitude of the Tropical Year, it must be allowed, that some of the Observations made by *Hypparchus* were perform'd with the greatest Accuracy, especially such as related to the Magnitude of the Year. Since he made it to consist of 365 days 5 hs.

55 m. and 12 f. which only differs from the most correct Observations ever made, even by the Moderns with all their Advantages, 6 m. 15 f. a Difference amazingly small considering the vast Advantages we have over *Hypparchus*. For, besides the Imperfections of his Sphere from the Shadow which cannot be so distinctly express'd as to point out the Divisions exactly on the Concave Side of the Equatorial Circle; his Observations were liable to other Errors arising from the Sun's Paralux, and Refraction, the last of these occasions a considerable Error in his Altitude, and consequently of his apparent Place in the Ecliptick. As Refraction makes the Sun of a greater Altitude than he really is, it anticipates the vernal, and retards the autumnal Equinox.

If, therefore, this should happen in the Morning the Sun will appear to be twice in the Equinoctial Point on the same Day, once before he arrives at it, and again when he is near the Meridian and free from Refraction, which is the true time. And the same is to be understood, if the true time be Afternoon, and near 12 o'Clock, that is to say, once at the true Time, when he is near the Meridian, and free from Refraction, and again when he is near the western Horizon, and affected by Refraction. This happened to *Hypparchus* himself, as *Ptolemy* observes, which made him

him conclude that the Sphere was a false Instrument, and that no Observations made by it could be depended upon. This Error *Hyparchus* found to be near six Hours, or one fourth Part of a Day, but could assign no other Cause for it then the Error arising from the Imperfection of the Instrument; but it is clearly demonstrated by *Longomontanus*, *Bulialdus* and *Kepler*, that a greater Error than Six Hours may arise from Refraction, and besides *Kepler* asserts that the same thing happened to *Tycho* in observing the Sun's Ingress into the Equinox by the armillary Sphere, the Shadow falling twice upon the Line that divides the northern from the southern Side of the Ecliptick, and therefore if no Allowance was to be made for Refraction, the Sun would have been that Day twice in the same Place of the Equator, which is absurd. However, tho' the Magnitude of the Year, from *Hyparchus*'s Observations, is nearer the Truth than any of his Predecessors, yet they are to be excused, and it is not a little surprising that so many of them came so near, considering the Imperfection of their Instruments, and no Allowance being made for Refraction.

Nay several of them did not differ from its true Magnitude above 11 min. and 3 sec. as will more fully appear from the following Table, which contains the Magnitude of the Year, calculated by the most famous Astronomers

mers before *Hypparchus*, and from him to the present time, where we may see at one View how near to the Truth these learned Men did approach.

Hermes Trismigistus an Egyptian Astronomer, *anno ante Christum* 1480, makes the solar Year to consist of 365 days.

Ennius an Egyptian, *anno ante Ch.* 700, makes it 366 days.

Tbales, the *Millesian*, and the Egyptian Astronomers, cotemporary with him, make the Year 365 days, *anno ante Ch.* 620.

Oenepidus, of *Chios*, who liv'd, *anno ante Ch.* 560, makes it 365 days, 8 hours, and 57 min.

Harpalus, *ante Ch.* 520, makes it 365 days, 13 hours.

Democritus of *Abdera*, *ante Ch.* 456 Years, who studied amongst the *Chaldeans*, makes it 365 d. 6 h.

Meton, the Inventor of the Lunar Cycle, who, together with *Eutemon*, observ'd the Solstices, and from thence determin'd the Magnitude of the Year, *ante Ch.* 422, makes it 365 d. 6 h. 18 m. 56 f. 51 th.

Aphrodius, an Egyptian, *ante Ch.* 400 years, makes it 365 d. 3 h.

Calippus Cyzicenes, *ante Ch.* 330 years, makes it 365 d. 6 h.

Ari-

D. H. M. S. T. F. F. S.

Aristarchus of *Samos*, ante
Ch. 282, makes it } 365. 6. 1. 16. ———

Archimedes, of *Sicily*, ante
Ch. 266 } 365. 6. ———

Hypparchus the *Bithian*, ante
Ch. 136 } 365. 5. 55. 16. ———

Gemius of *Rhods*, ante *Ch.*
 83 years } 365. 6. ———

Sofigines, who assisted *Ju-*
lius Caesar, ante *Ch.* 46 years } 365. 6. ———

Hacius, a *Jewish* Priest, ante
Ch. 11 } 365. 5. 55. 12. ———

Ptolemy, anno *Ch.* 140,
 makes it } 365. 5. 8. ———

Rabbi Adda, the *Jew*, who
 corrected the *Hebrew* Kalendar,
 anno *Ch.* 340. makes it } 365. 5. 55. 25. 26. 20. ———

Some Authors make this
Jew the same with *Rabbi Samuel*;
 but that cannot be, for that *Rabbi*
 liv'd long before him, and who made
 the solar Year consist of } 365. 6. ———

Albategnius in *Assyria*, anno
Ch. 879, makes it } 365. 5. 46. 24. ———

The *Persian* Astronomers,
 according to *Scaliger de Emendatione*
temparum, and the two following
 Authors make the Year } 365. 5. 48. 30. ———

Longimontanus in his *Astronomica*
Danica makes it } 365. 5. 48. 53. 20. ———

Bulialdus makes it } 365. 5. 48. 59. ———

Yet neither of these Authors
 name the particular Astronomers
 among the *Persians*, who thus
 calculated the Year, nor the Time
 the Magnitudes were adjusted.

E

In

In the Year 1250, *Alphon-*
sus K. of Castile, by the Assis-
 tance of *Arabian, Moorish,* and
Jewish Astronomers, whom
 he had invited to his Court,
 computed the Year to be

365. 5. 49. 15. 58. 49. 16. 26.

This Magnitude of the Year,
 calculated by these Astrono-
 mers of *Alphonfus*, was fol-
 lowed in 1450, by *Georgius*
Perbachius, an *Austrian*, and
 Professor of Mathematicks at
Ferrara in Italy and *Vienna*;
 tho' both he and *Alphonfus* to
 abreviate this Calculation
 made it consist of nearly

365. 5. 49. 16. ———

In the Year 1504, *Ber-*
nardus Waltberus of *Nurem-*
berge, a Scholar of *Regimon-*
tanus makes it

365. 5. 48. 50. ———

Copernicus, of *Warmes*, in
 calculating the Magnitude of
 the tropical Year, divided it
 into great, mean, and small.
 His great Year

375. 5. 55. 37. 40. ———

His mean Year is

365. 5. 49. 16. 23. 30. ———

His small Year is

365. 5. 42. 55. 7. ———

In 1530, *Heiropimius Car-*
dan, Professor of Mathema-
 ticks at *Bononia*, makes it

365. 5. 48. 41. ———

In 1561, *Daniel Sanbech*,
 makes it

365. 5. 48. 41. 42. 33. 50. 10.

Ignatius Danter, of *Bononia*,
 in 1576, made the tropical
 Year

365. 5. 45. 36. ———

Maginus calculated the Year
 from the preutenic Tables, and
 divided into great, mean, and
 small. His great Year con-
 sisted of

365. 5. 55. 53. ———

His

	D.	H.	M.	S.	T.	F.	F.	S.
His mean Year was	365.	5.	49.	16.	—	—	—	—
His small Year	365.	5.	42.	38.	—	—	—	—
<i>Meeftlinus</i> , from the same Tables makes it	365.	5.	49.	15.	46.	—	—	—
In the Year 1582, when Pope <i>Gregory</i> rectify'd the Ka- lender, <i>Chriftopherus Clavius</i> , of <i>Bamberg</i> , and <i>Alayffus Le- lius</i> , of <i>Verona</i> , with the Ad- vice of <i>Blancano</i> , at the De- fire of the Pope, calculated the Magnitude of Year, and found it to confift of	365.	5.	49.	12.	—	—	—	—
Much about the fame time, <i>Tycho Brache</i> , the famous <i>Dan- ifh</i> Astronomer, found its Magnitude to be	365.	5.	48.	45.	—	—	—	—
In the 1627, <i>John Kepler</i> , of <i>Wirtemberg</i> , Mathematician to the three Emperors.— <i>Rodolphus</i> , and <i>Ferdinand</i> the Second, published the <i>Rodol- phin</i> Tables, whereby he makes the Year	365.	5.	48.	57.	36.	—	—	—
<i>Chriftianus Severini Longo- montanus</i> , a <i>Danifh</i> Profeflor of Mathematicks in the Col- lege of <i>Hafnia</i> , or <i>Copenhagen</i> , divides the Year into great, mean and fmall, after the Ex- ample of <i>Copernicus</i> . His great Year he makes	365.	5.	51.	29.	12.	—	—	—
His mean is	365.	5.	48.	55.	—	—	—	—
His fmall is	365.	5.	46.	20.	48.	—	—	—
<i>David Organus</i> , of <i>Silefia</i> , Profeflor of Mathematicks at <i>Frankfort</i> , in his <i>Ephemerides</i> of 59 Years, or from the Year 1595 to 1654 divides the tro- pical Year into great, mean and fmall. His great Year is	365.	5.	56.	53.	—	—	—	—

D. H. M. S. T. F. F. S.

His mean Year is	365. 5. 49. 15. ———
His small Year is	365. 5. 42. 38. ———
<i>Galifredus Vendelinus</i> , a } Dutchman, in 1644, makes it	365. 5. 49. 5. 27. 16. ———
<i>Ismael Bulialdus</i> , in 1645, } makes it	365. 5. 49. 4. 21. 3. ———
<i>Johannes Baptista</i> , an Ita- } lian of Bononia, 1651, makes it	365. 5. 48. 48. ———
<i>Phillippus Lansbergius</i> , of } Ghent, in his <i>Tabulæ motuum</i> <i>perpetuæ</i> , printed 1682, makes	365. 5. 48. 57. 2. 22. 4. ———
the tropical Year	
<i>Dionysius Petavius</i> , <i>De ra-</i> } <i>tione Tempore</i> , makes it	365. 5. 49. ———
Doctor Gregory, in his Af- } tronomy, makes it	365. 5. 49. ———
<i>Cassini</i> , Dr. Keil, and o- } thers	365. 5. 49. 57. ———

The Inaccuracy of the Instruments with which the Ancients made their Observations, the Incertainty of the true Position of the Shadow, and the great Errors that must necessarily arise from the mistaking its Position, when the Sun is low and affected with Refraction, whereof they were intirely ignorant, is the Foundation of all their Errors relating to the Magnitude of their Year.

It is not at all surprizing, that the Ancients, before *Ptolemy's* Time, differ'd about the Magnitude of the solar Year, since a few Minutes of Refraction will occasion such odds, but on the contrary, it is rather astonishing that the Difference should be so small, and that

that so many of them agree in one and the same Magnitude; for *Demecritus*, *Callippus*, *Archimedes*, *Gemius*, *Sofigenes* and *Rabbi Samuel*, all agree in making the Magnitude of the solar Year to consist of 365 Days 6 Hours, tho' they lived in different Ages; and all these came within eleven Minutes and three Seconds of the Truth, at least of the most accurate Observations ever yet made by the Moderns with all their Advantages.

It appears from the foregoing Table, by comparing the Magnitude of the Year calculated by *Hypparchus* with the Calculations of *Isaacus Argyrus* and *Rabbi Adda*, that betwixt *Hypparchus* and *Argyrus* there is only 2 Minutes and 24 Seconds, and betwixt *Hypparchus* and *Adda* there is only 13 sec. 26 th. 24 fo. though they liv'd 476 Years distant from one another, which is almost incredible considering the Inaccuracy of their Instruments. But it is no less surprizing when we compare the Observations made by the Moderns since *Tycho's* Time, to find that they differ at least from one another as much as the Antients, notwithstanding their superior Advantages from the Knowledge of the Paralaxes, and Refraction, and above all, their being furnished with Instruments of most exact construction, founded upon the justest Principles, and executed by the most exquisite Workmen; yet betwixt
the

the mean Year of *Origanus*, Professor of Mathematics at *Frankfort*, calculated 1593, and the Observations made by *Cassini*, of the Royal Academy at *Paris*, which is without dispute the most correct, there is no less than 18 sec. which is 5 more than between *Hyparchus* and *Rabbi Adda*. Mr. *Flamsteed*, our Countryman, agrees exactly with *Cassini*, in his Calculation of the Year.

There are, indeed, others that have come a good deal nearer to *Cassini* and *Flamsteed*, particularly *Lansberg*, who only differs from *Cassini* and *Flamsteed*, 2 th. 22 fo. and 4 fif. But with these superior Advantages one second of Difference is more than a Minute to the Antients in Proportion to the Disadvantages they labour'd under. And even the Observations of the *Persians* as appears from *Longomontanus* and *Bulialdus*, are more correct than the Observations of the modern *Europeans*, for according to *Longomontanus* their Year is only 3 sec. and 40 th. less than *Cassini*, and according to *Bulialdus* it is only 2 sec. more. But neither of these Authors informs us at what Time these Calculations were made, nor so much as the Names of those who made them: However, as *Longomontanus* succeeded to *Tycho*, we may conclude they were at least antecedent to *Tycho's* Time. And hence it plainly appears, that
more

more accurate Observations may be made with bad Instruments, by Men of great Abilities, than with the most complete by Men of inferior Parts : What might have been expected then from the Observations of *Hypparchus* and his Successors, had they been assisted with such correct Instruments, together with the Knowledge of the Errors that arise from Refraction, &c. Advantages the Moderns now enjoy, and are in the Use of practising daily.

Having thus far given a short History of the Magnitude of the Year, from the earliest Ages down to our own Time; we shall in the next proceed to consider the Errors of the *Julian* and *Gregorian* Periods, and in the Conclusion lay down a Method for correcting them and setting the true Time of *Easter*, upon which all the other moveable Feasts depend.

It has been already observed, that *Julius Cæsar* brought over from *Egypt*, *Sasigenes*, the most famous Astronomer of that Age, to settle the true Magnitude of the tropical Year, who agreeing with *Archimedes* and *Callippus*, &c. made it to consist of 365 days, 6 hours. But as the true Magnitude, from the best and most accurate Observations, is found to be 365 days, 5 hours, 48 minutes, and 57 seconds, as we have already remark'd; so that
the

the *Julian* Year exceeds the true tropical Year by 11 minutes, and 3 sec. or 663 sec. and consequently 100 *Julian* Years will exceed 100 true Years 100 times 663 sec. that is 66300 sec. which being reduced to Hours, we find to be precisely 18 hours; and 25 minutes.

As one Day consists of 86400 sec. and each *Julian* Year exceeding each true Year by 663 sec. the Proportion is, as 668 sec. is to 86400, so is one Year to the Number of *Julian* Years that exceeds the same Number of true Years by one whole Day, and this fourth Proportional being 130 Years, and 31674 one hundred thousand Parts of a Year as may be seen in the Operation.

There-

Therefore it follows, that 130 *Julian* Years, and 31674, One hundred thousand Parts of a *Julian* Year will exceed the same Number of true Years by a whole Day.

Wherefore, if in that Year the Council of *Nice* was held, the Sun enter'd the vernal Equinox upon the 21st Day of *March*, agreeable to the Observations of *Eusebius* of *Cesarea*, and other *Alexandrian* Astronomers, who were employ'd by the Council for that Purpose; therefore, in

the Space of 130 Years, and 31674, One hundred thousand Parts of a Year, after it the *Julian* Year will then have exceeded the true Year by one whole Day: And hence, at the End of that Time when the tropical Year was completed, the *Julian* Year wanted one whole Day to complete it; consequently, by the *Julian* Account, the Sun must have enter'd the vernal Equinox one Day sooner, than he did at the Council of *Nice*; that is, he enter'd it upon the 20 *March*.

Again, in ten Times the above-mentioned Number of Years after the Council of *Nice*;

F

that

$$663 : 86400 :: 1$$

$$663 \overline{) 86400 (130.31674}$$

$$2010$$

$$1982$$

$$2100$$

$$1989$$

$$1110$$

$$663$$

$$4470$$

$$3978$$

$$4920$$

$$4641$$

$$2790$$

$$2652$$

$$168$$

that is, 1303 *Julian* Years, and 1674 Ten thousand Parts of a Year, the *Julian* did exceed the true Year ten whole Days; and therefore, in that 1303^d Year after the Council of *Nice*, the Sun enter'd the vernal Equinox upon the 11th Day of *March*: And hence it clearly follows, that, by measuring the Year by the *Julian* Method, the Sun's Ingress into the vernal Equinox must go backward towards the Beginning of the Year.

And, since between the Council of *Nice*, which was held in the Year 325, and the Year 1582, when Pope *Gregory* rectified the Kalendar, there are 1257 Years, which only wants 46 of the above Period, 1303; viz. the Number of *Julian* Years, that exceed the same Number of true Years, by ten whole Days; therefore the Sun, in the Year 1582, must have enter'd the vernal Equinox, nearly ten Days sooner than at the Council of *Nice*; or, in other Words, more particularly, the Sun must have enter'd the vernal Equinox the 11th of *March* 1582, 8 Hours, 28 Minutes, and 18"; that is, the 18th Second of the the 29th Minute of the 9th Hour of the Day following, or 12th Day of *March*.

It has been the constant Practice of the Christian Church, to celebrate the Feast of *Easter* upon the first *Sunday* after the first Full-Moon that happened after the vernal Equi-

Equinox; and, if that Full-Moon should fall upon a *Sunday*, then the *Sunday* following is made *Easter-Day*: But, by the *Julian Method* of measuring the tropical Year, the Equinoxes go backward; which renders it difficult, at least to the greatest Part of Mankind, to determine the true Time the primitive Christians solemnized this Festival; and, for that Reason, Pope *Gregory* resolved to rectify the Kalendar so, as the Festivals might happen about the same Time as they did at the Council of *Nice*.

The easiest Way to effect this, he imagined, would be carefully to observe the precise Time of the Day the Sun enter'd the vernal Equinox that Year, viz. 1582, and call that Day the same nominal Day he enter'd it, in 325, the Year in which the Council of *Nice* was held. In order to effect the first Point, he order'd *Clavius*, *Lelius*, and other able Astronomers, accurately to observe the Sun's Ingress into the vernal Equinox; but either from the Badness of their Instruments, or Inaccuracy of the Observers, there happened a great Difference amongst them about the true Time he enter'd the Equinoctial Point, as we are informed by *Clavius's* Commentaries on *Johannes de Sacrobosco*, *Bulialdus*, and others. And therefore, out of all these, Pope *Gregory* chose the Mean, allowing that the Sun that

Year enter'd the vernal Equinox upon the 11th Day of *March*. According to this Calculation, there is ten Days of Difference between his Entery that Year, and the Year 325, when the Council of *Nice* was held; which he order'd to be struck out of the Kalendar, by calling the 5th Day of *October* the 15th. This was *Gregory's* first Step towards a Reformation of the Kalendar. His next was to consider the Magnitude of the Year; and, finding that the Difference betwixt the Astronomers employed by him, and those employed by *Julius Cæsar*, was 10 Minutes and 48 Seconds, or 648 Thirds, he concluded, that 133 Years, and a third Part of a Year, according to the *Julian* Method of Reckoning, would exceed the same Number of true Years by one whole Day; and thus 400 *Julian* Years would exceed the same Number of true Years by three whole Days, precisely, without any Fraction. The Pope, trusting to this Calculation, concluded, and, indeed, with great Reason, had it been exact, that if three whole Days were taken away from every 400 Years by the *Julian* Account, the Error, arising from the Anticipation of the Equinox, would be rectified, with the greatest Exactness, for ever.

This was the Reason that made *Clavius* assert, that Time, by this Correction, would be
for

for ever truly measured, without either Loss or Gain of one Second ; and that, in each Period of 400 Years, the Sun would enter the vernal Equinox, not only on the same Day of the Month, but the same Hour, Minute, Second, &c. of that Day.

This Conclusion of *Clavius* was founded upon the Hypothesis, that the true Magnitude of the tropical Year was 365 Days, 5 Hours, 49 Minutes, and 12 Seconds ; and, if that had been true, his Conclusion was certainly just. But Observations cotemporary with *Clavius*, gave Birth to several Disputes about the Magnitude of the Year, as they differed from his Calculations, and, consequently, disapproved of the Kalendar founded upon them ; as may be seen, at large, in his Apology for the *Gregorian* Kalendar, and in *Riccioli*, &c. and, indeed, both Observations and Experience have since proved, that these Objections were well founded, as we shall more particularly examine hereafter. But to return to *Gregory's* Correction :

Since he thought, that if three Days were taken away from each Period of 400 Years, Time would, by that means, be for ever truly measured ; and, as it was of no Consequence from what particular Year these three Days were taken, he ordered, that each of the first 300 Years which, by the *Julian* Method, would

would be Leap Years, consisting of 366 Days, should be Common Years, consisting of 365 Days, and that each 400th Year should be a Leap Year, consisting of 366 Days; and hence, 1700, 1800, and 1900 Years, should be Common Years, of 365 Days; and that 2000 should be a Leap Year, consisting of 366 Days; as also, that 2100, 2200, 2300, should be reckoned Common Years, and 2400 a Leap Year: That is, in general, that all those complete Hundreds, whereof the significant Figures, exclusive of the Cyphers, that are divisible by 4, are made Leap Years; but all these complete Hundreds, whose significant Figures, exclusive of the Cyphers, that are not divisible by 4, are Common Years; and hence, 3200 and 4800, are Leap Years; because the significant Figures 32 and 48, exclusive of the Cyphers, are divisible by 4; but 2600, 3000, 3800, are Common Years; because their significant Figure 26, 3, and 38, are not divisible by 4.

All other Years, except the complete Hundreds, he ordered still to be reckoned after the same manner as *Julius Cæsar* had done; that is, every fourth Year a Leap Year, and all the rest Common Years: So that any Number whatever, if divisible by 4, is a Leap Year, but if not divisible by 4, a Common Year. This is what we call the *Gregorian*, or *New Stile*;

Stile; and the only material Difference betwixt it and the *Old Stile*, after striking the ten Days out of the Kalendar, in order to make the Festivals happen upon the same Days they did at the Council of *Nice*, is, that all complete Hundreds of Years are Leap Years, by the *Julian* Method of Reckoning; and by the *Gregorian* Method, only every fourth complete Hundredth Year is reckoned a Leap Year, and all other complete Hundredth Years are only Common Years, as we have above observed, and, in all other Respects, they are the same.

If the Magnitude of the Year calculated by *Clavius*, *Lelius*, &c. had been the true Magnitude of the Year, it is plain, from what has been already observed, that the Corrections made on the Kalendar, by *Gregory*, would have been so completely exact, as never to have incurred an Error of a Second to the End of infinite Ages; but, as the Magnitude of *Gregory's* Year was 365 Days, 5 Hours, 49 Minutes, and 12 Seconds; and the Magnitude of the true Year is now, from the most accurate Observations, found to be 365 Days, 5 Hours, 48 Minutes, and 57 Seconds: So that the *Julian* Year, instead of exceeding the true Year by 10' and 48'', exceeds it 11' and 3'', and 130 *Julian* Years, and 31674, One hundred thousand Parts of a Year, and not 133
Years

Years and one Third of a Year, exceeds the same Number of true Years by one whole Day.

Upon this Hypothesis, wherein, I think, we may venture to acquiesce, we shall compare the true Measure of Time, to *Gregory's* Method, and clearly point out the Errors that necessarily follows from reckoning after it.

As *Gregory* ordered, that the first Three hundred complete Years should be Common Years, each consisting of 365 Days, instead of 366, which, by the *Julian* Account, they should have consisted of; and as, by the *Julian* Account, there is only gained on true Time 66300'', or 18 Hours and 25 Minutes, in a Hundred *Julian* Years; and 24 Hours, or one whole Day, being subtracted by the *Gregorian* Method, it is evident there is taken away 20100'', or 5 Hours and 35' more than ought to be: So that we see, there is taken away, in the first 300 Years, 60300'' more than the Truth, which amounts to 16 Hours and 45'; and consequently, the *Gregorians*, at the End of 300 Years, will, by this means, have just lost that much upon true Time. But, as in the *Julian* Account there is gained the fourth Hundredth Year 66300 Seconds, or 18 Hours and 25 Minutes, and in the preceeding 300 Years there was lost 16 Hours and 25 Minutes; and these two Errors being of a contrary Na-

Natures, but not equal to one another, the Gain being one Hour and 40 Minutes more than the Loss, they will not destroy one another ; so that there will be gained upon true Time, at the End of the fourth Hundredth Year, 6000 Seconds, or one Hour and 40 Minutes : And hence there will be a successive Gain of one Hour and 40 Minutes, or 6000'', at the End of each subsequent Four hundredth Year.

Therefore, as 6000'' is to 86400'', or one whole Day, so is 400 Years to a fourth Proportional, which will be the Number of Years in which one whole Day is gained, by *Gregory's* Method ; and that, as appears by the Calculation, is just 5760 complete Years, without any Fraction.

As *Gregory's* Error is of the same kind with that incurr'd by *Julius Cæsar*, the Equinoxes will still go backwards, as before ; so that *Gregory's* Method, compared to *Cæsar's*, is only a nearer Approximation to the true Measure of Time.

$$\begin{array}{r}
 6000'' : 86400'' :: 400 : \\
 \quad \quad \quad 400 \\
 6000 \overline{) 34560} \mid 000 (5760 \bullet \\
 \quad \quad \quad 30 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \hline
 \quad \quad \quad 45 \\
 \quad \quad \quad 42 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \hline
 \quad \quad \quad 36 \\
 \quad \quad \quad 36 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \hline
 \quad \quad \quad 0
 \end{array}$$

The Correction of this Error, incurr'd by *Gregory*, evidently shews itself ; for since, from the above Calculation, the fourth Pro-

G portional

portional is precisely 5760, without a Fraction; and that being the Number of Years in which one whole Day is gained, and that Number, at the same time, being a Leap Year, both by *Gregory* and *Cæsar's* Method, because the significant Figures in this Number are divisible by Four; therefore, if every 5760th Year be accounted a Common Year, then will *Gregory's* Error be corrected, and Time, for ever, truly measured: And, in each Period of 5760 Years reckoned in this manner, the Sun will enter the vernal Equinox not only on the same Day of the Month, but on the same Hour of the Day, and on the same Minute, Second, Third, &c. of that Hour; that is, on the same Point of Duration of that Hour, as *Clavius* had before observed of *Gregory's* Correction. But as this Error of *Clavius* arose from his assigning too great a Measure for the tropical Year; if future Observations be made with more Care and Accuracy than those from whence this Magnitude of the Year is taken, (which I very much question) then will the Calculation fall a little short of the Truth, though not near so much as *Gregory's*; for, suppose 2'' of an Error, in that Event, there can neither be gained nor lost on true Time above a whole Day, in less than 43200 Years, when there will be precisely one Day gained, if it should be

be found 2 Seconds more ; or there will be one Day lost, if 2 Seconds less : That is, if this Year should be found 2 Seconds more than the Truth, then will it consist of 365 Days, 5 Hours, 48 Minutes, and 55 Seconds ; so that it will gain upon true Time 2 Seconds every Year, and consequently will gain exactly one whole Day in 43200 Years. Therefore, if, besides the above Correction of making every 5760th Year a Common Year, each 43200th Year, which is a Leap Year, both by the *Julian* and *Gregorian* Method of Reckoning ; because it is a complete Period of Hundreds, whose significant Figures 432 are divisible by 4, be likewise made a Common Year, consisting of 365 Days, then will Time be measured with the same Degree of Exactness, as above-mentioned : And, on the contrary, suppose the true Year to consist of 365 Days, 5 Hours, 48 Minutes, and 59 Seconds, or 2 Seconds more than what we have computed from, then will be lost 2 Seconds each Year, and one whole Day in the same Period of 43200 : So that if this Year, as we have already observed is a Leap Year, be made a Common Year, consisting of 367 Days, and that extraordinary Day may be intercalated in the same manner as *Julius Caesar* intercalated his Day, *viz.* on the Sixth of the Kalends of *March*, the Error will be corrected, as before.

This

This points out a plain Rule, by which the Kalendar may be corrected to the greatest Degree of Perfection by Posterity, according to the true Magnitude of the Year, that may be discovered by accurate Observers.

Had a Clause been inserted in the Bill for altering the Stile, That each 5760th Year, which, by the *Gregorian Method*, is a Leap Year, should be a Common Year; since, by that means, Time would not only have been measured with the utmost Exactness, but an Honour reflected on the *British* Senate, and Nation in general, as being the first People who introduced the Method of correcting Time to the highest Degree of Perfection. The Addition of this Clause could not, for many Generations, affect the Common Argument; viz. That it is of great Advantage to Trade in general, that all Nations should reckon Time after the same manner, which, perhaps, was the true Cause of our altering the Stile; because most Nations of *Europe* reckon by the *Gregorian*, as we should only have differed from it one single Day in 5760 Years; and besides, there is a great Probability, that all *Europe* would have followed our Example, and corrected their Account of Time according to our Model.

F. I. N. I. S.



